ToP Consensus Workshop Skills Checklist

	Date	Event
	Observer	CTF Candidate
Context		
	Did facilitator set the mood for full participation? Did facilitator clarify the task? Did facilitator give an overview of how the workshop would proceed? Was the purpose or aim of the workshop stated? Was the workshop question clarified? Was the workshop question posted on a flip chart?\	
Brainsto	rm	
	Did facilitator ask participants to individually list answers to the workshop question and start their key ideas? Did facilitator ask them to read their lists to each other after breaking into pairs or small groups? Did facilitator ask participants to select key ideas from the individual lists and write them on cards? Did facilitator ask participants to pass up the first round of cards and ask for the clearest card?	
Cluster		
	Did facilitator help participants form 4-6 pairs intuitively? Did facilitator ask for cards that are different and develop clusters? Did facilitator quickly get participants to give each cluster a 1-2 word tag with a symbol? Did facilitator involve all participants in contributing insights? Did facilitator have participants label (with the symbol) and pass up the remaining cards? Did facilitator help participants discover points of agreement?	
Naming		
	Did facilitator read through the largest cluster first? Did facilitator help participants give the cluster a 3-7 word name? Did facilitator repeat the process for the remaining clusters and ask for other ideas? Did facilitator expand individuals' initial perspectives?	

■ Did facilitator read all the title cards? ☐ Did he/she engage participants in discussing the significance of the consensus? ☐ Did facilitator engage participants in a discussion about the next steps and/or implications of the consensus? ■ Did facilitator get participants to affirm and own the consensus? THINGS TO WATCH FOR: (Rank facilitator 1-5 -- 1 needs much improvement, 5 is excellent) 1. Gave clear instructions: "Why" and "What" 2. Got people involved and kept them interested 3. Handled logistics invisibly 4. Effortless clustering 5. Pacing allowed ample time for naming 6. Pushed for adequate titles 7. Facilitated consensus in naming 8. Achieved insights from the group 9. Kept focus on content of the workshop 10. Brief, clear wrap-up **COMMON TRAPS TO BE AVOIDED:** (check if these were observed) Confusing instructions ☐ Too much time for individuals to work alone ■ Not reading cards aloud ☐ Facilitator re-wording participants contributions (excessively) ☐ Confused tagging and naming processes ☐ Lost focus in naming: accepted first/any suggestions or strung together run-on titles

Resolve

☐ Let one or two people dominate the input